



**A SURVEY OF ADULTS
IN
EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LA**

**Conducted for
CJCC**

August 4-9, 2020

METHODS

The following topline and crosstabs contain data from a survey of 450 adult residents of East Baton Rouge Parish, LA. The survey was conducted from August 4-9, 2020 via landline and cell phone.

Findings applying to the 450 sample are subject to sampling error of plus or minus 4.6%. Subgroups of the sample are subject to error of up to plus or minus 10%.

The following definitions describe the less than obvious subgroups used in the crosstabs. Percentages in parentheses refer to each subgroup's proportion of the total sample. Question numbers from which the less than obvious subgroups are derived are also included.

CROSTAB KEY

- **REGION City** (44%) includes respondents who live in zip codes 70801, 70802, 70804, 70805, 70806, 70807, 70808, 70811, 70812, 70814, 70815, 70821, 70879, 70891, and 70898. **North/NE** (19%) includes respondents who live in zip codes 70714, 70739, 70748, 70770, 70777, 70791, 70818, 70819, 70722, and 70875. **South/SE** (37%) includes respondents who live in zip codes 70776, 70769, 70809, 70810, 70816, 70817, 70820, 70826, and 70836.
- **UNDERSERVED ZIPS** (32%) includes zip codes 70801, 70802, 70805, 70806, 70807, 70811, and 70812.
- **NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY Safe** (80%) includes respondents who say their neighborhood is very safe or safe. **Unsafe** (16%) includes respondents who say their neighborhood is unsafe or very unsafe. **Other/DK** (4%) includes respondents who offered another response or aren't sure how to rate the safety of their neighborhood (Q.11).
- **CRIME VICTIM HH Yes** (32%) includes respondents who have been a victim of a crime in East Baton Rouge Parish or who have someone in their immediate household who has. **No** (61%) includes respondents from households where no one has been a victim of a crime in East Baton Rouge Parish (Q.34).
- **ACCUSED/ARREST HH Yes** (18%) includes respondents who have been accused or arrested of a crime in East Baton Rouge Parish or who have someone in their immediate household who has. **No** (76%) includes respondents from households where no one has been accused or arrested of a crime in East Baton Rouge Parish (Q.35).

Form
 Form A 50%
 Form B 50

RECORD FROM SAMPLE:

1. Phone:
 Cell 68%
 Landline 32

Hello, my name is _____ and I'm calling from FL Research, a national public opinion firm. I want to emphasize that this is not an attempt to sell anything or solicit funds. We are conducting a brief survey on issues facing Baton Rouge. May I please speak to:

(IF THE TELEPHONE NUMBER ENDS IN AN EVEN NUMBER:)

The youngest woman living at this household who is 18 years old or older and is home at this time.

(IF NO WOMAN IS THERE, INTERVIEW ANY MAN 18+)

(IF THE TELEPHONE NUMBER ENDS IN AN ODD NUMBER:)

The youngest man living at this household who is 18 years old or older and is home at this time.

(IF NO MAN IS THERE, INTERVIEW ANY WOMAN 18+)

Before we begin, I want to assure you that all of your responses will remain anonymous and I will not ask for any personal information that can identify you.

2. First, do you currently reside in East Baton Rouge Parish?

Yes	100%	CONTINUE
No	<u>X</u>	ASK FOR ANY OTHER REGISTERED VOTER IN HOUSEHOLD.
Don't know/Refused (Vol.)	<u>X</u>	IF NONE, TERMINATE

3. Generally speaking, do you think things in your neighborhood are going in the right direction or wrong direction?

Right direction	37%
Wrong direction	17
Both/Combination/Other (Vol.)	45
Don't know/Refused (Vol.)	1

I'm going to read a list of organizations in Baton Rouge. I'd like you to rate your feelings toward each one as very positive, somewhat positive, neutral, somewhat negative, or very negative. If you don't recognize a name I read, just say so. **(RANDOMIZE)**

	<u>Very positive</u>	<u>Somewhat positive</u>	<u>Neutral</u>	<u>Somewhat negative</u>	<u>Very negative</u>	<u>Know name, Can't rate</u>	<u>Don't recognize</u>
4. Criminal Justice Coordinating Council	2%	8%	15%	2%	3%	17%	53%
5. Police Department	21	40	26	6	5	2	-
6. Public Defender's Office	8	28	33	7	4	17	3
7. District Attorney's Office	12	36	27	4	6	13	2
8. Sheriff's Department	26	35	23	5	4	6	-
9. Capital Area Human Services	8	23	20	2	2	16	30

10. I'd like to tell you a little more about the Criminal Justice Coordinating Council. It is an organization that brings all departments of the Parish's justice system and community members together to work as a team with the goal of achieving a justice system that is fair and equitable for all the residents of East Baton Rouge Parish. Is your reaction to this description very favorable, somewhat favorable, not very favorable, or not favorable at all?

Very favorable	31%
Somewhat favorable	47
Not very favorable	5
Not favorable at all	4
Don't know/Refused (Vol.)	13

11. Would you say your neighborhood is very safe, safe, unsafe, or very unsafe?

Very safe	14%
Safe	66
Unsafe	13
Very unsafe	2
Other/Neither (Vol.)	3
Don't know/Refused (Vol.)	1

12. Thinking about the amount of police presence in your neighborhood, is it too much, about right, or not enough?

Too much	3%
About right	57
Not enough	33
Don't know/Refused (Vol.)	6

13. Do you support or oppose changing the law to allow law enforcement to release non-violent crime suspects who do not post a safety risk with a notice to appear in court?

Support	42%
Oppose	37
Don't know/Refused (Vol.)	21

14. **A.** Do you believe a person's inability to pay bail is a valid reason for them to remain in jail before going to trial?

Yes	36%
No	43
Don't know/Refused (Vol.)	21

15. **B.** Do you believe a person's ability to pay bail is a valid reason for them to be released from jail before going to trial?

Yes	26%
No	54
Don't know/Refused (Vol.)	20

Here are some statements about The East Baton Rouge Justice System, which covers everything from initial police contact through post-sentencing. Please tell me whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree with each statement. **(RANDOMIZE)**

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DK/Refused
16. I understand how the East Baton Rouge justice system works.	14%	50%	22%	6%	8%
17. It is more difficult for people in poverty to navigate the justice system.	25	46	12	1	15
18. A. I believe the East Baton Rouge justice system treats people fairly.	18	40	24	6	12
19. B. I believe the East Baton Rouge justice system treats people like me fairly.	21	41	22	6	9
20. Having better paid police officers will help reduce crime in Baton Rouge.	17	29	32	8	14
21. A. I would participate in a community discussion about crime in my neighborhood with local justice leaders.	16	38	14	8	24
22. B. I would be willing to work with law enforcement to make my neighborhood safer.	25	38	14	4	19
23. The justice system should be designed to meet the needs of all people, regardless of their socio-economic status.	42	53	2	-	3

Here are some potential priorities for the Criminal Justice Coordinating Council. Using a scale of zero to ten, where zero means not a priority at all and ten means a very high priority, please tell me how much of a priority you think each one should be for the Criminal Justice Coordinating Council. **(RANDOMIZE)**

	MEAN	0-4 Low	5 Medium	6-10 High	DK/Refused
24. Reforming bond practices to change how bond is set and if defendants stay in jail while waiting for a court date.	6.96	11%	15%	60%	13%
25. Shortening the length of time it takes to bring a case to justice.	8.25	3	10	81	5
26. Reducing the racial and ethnic disparities in the justice system.	7.80	7	10	72	11
27. Breaking the cycle of repeat arrests and convictions.	8.39	2	8	83	6
28. Increasing alternatives to jail before trial.	7.25	8	14	66	11
29. Increasing safety and rehabilitation for people in jail.	7.57	6	15	72	7
30. A. Building a meaningful partnership between justice agencies and community members.	8.65	3	7	80	10
31. B. Working to improve the way law enforcement engages with the community.	8.64	5	6	85	5

FINALLY, I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU A FEW QUESTIONS FOR COMPARISON PURPOSES ONLY.

32. First, what is your age?

Age 18-34	21%
Age 35-49	34
Age 50-64	28
Age 65+	16
Don't know/Refused (Vol.)	1

33. How long have you lived in East Baton Rouge Parish?

15 or less	21%
16-25	20
Over 25	57
Don't know/Refused (Vol.)	2

34. Have you or has anyone in your immediate household ever been the victim of a crime in East Baton Rouge Parish?

Yes	32%
No	61
Don't know/Refused (Vol.)	7

35. And, have you or has anyone in your immediate household ever been accused of or arrested for a crime in East Baton Rouge Parish?

Yes	18%	ASK Q.36
No	76	SKIP TO Q.37
Don't know/Refused (Vol.)	6	SKIP TO Q.37

36. Did mental health or substance abuse issues directly relate to the accusation or arrest?

Yes	52%
No	45
Don't know/Refused (Vol.)	2

37. **(ASK EVERYONE)** If you were asked to say which economic class you belong to, would you say you consider yourself: **(READ LIST IN ORDER)**...

Upper class	4%
Upper middle class	18
Middle class	51
Working class	21

OR

Lower class?	4
(DON'T READ)	
Other (Vol.)	-
Don't know/Refused (Vol.)	1

38. What is your race or ethnic background – are you **(RANDOMIZE)** Black, Asian, Hispanic, White, Native American, Pacific Islander or another race or ethnic group?

Black	45%
Asian	1
Hispanic	4
White	46
Native American	-
Pacific Islander	-
Other	2
Don't know/Refused (Vol.)	2

39. Finally, what is your zip code: _____

City	44%
North/NE	19
South/SE	37

THANK YOU. YOU HAVE BEEN MOST HELPFUL.

RECORD AFTER INTERVIEW IS COMPLETE.

40. Respondent's Sex: Male 47%
Female 53

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	REGION			UNDERSERVED	RACE		GENDER/RACE			
			City	North/NE	South/SE	Zips	People of color	White people	Men of color	White men	Women of color	White women
FORM	Form A	50%	49%	53%	50%	51%	54%	45%	51%	49%	57%	43%
	Form B	50%	51%	47%	50%	49%	46%	55%	49%	51%	43%	58%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.1 PHONE	Cell	68%	70%	63%	68%	75%	67%	69%	67%	69%	67%	70%
	Landline	32%	30%	37%	32%	25%	33%	31%	33%	31%	33%	30%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.3 DIRECTION IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD	Right direction	37%	34%	41%	38%	32%	28%	47%	26%	52%	31%	42%
	Wrong direction	17%	17%	13%	18%	20%	22%	10%	16%	10%	28%	11%
	Both/Combo/Other	45%	48%	45%	43%	48%	49%	41%	58%	36%	41%	46%
	DK/Refused	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	GENDER		AGE				YEARS IN EBR		
			Men	Women	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	15 or less	16-25	Over 25
FORM	Form A	50%	50%	51%	50%	52%	53%	43%	51%	53%	50%
	Form B	50%	50%	49%	50%	48%	47%	57%	49%	47%	50%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.1 PHONE	Cell	68%	68%	68%	77%	75%	64%	49%	70%	70%	66%
	Landline	32%	32%	32%	23%	25%	36%	51%	30%	30%	34%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.3 DIRECTION IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD	Right direction	37%	39%	35%	36%	34%	41%	39%	41%	39%	35%
	Wrong direction	17%	13%	20%	21%	21%	14%	8%	17%	12%	18%
	Both/Combo/Other	45%	48%	44%	42%	45%	45%	50%	42%	48%	46%
	DK/Refused	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	4%	1%	1%	1%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY			CRIME VICTIM HH		ACCUSED/ARREST HH		ECON. CLASS		
			Safe	Unsafe	Other/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Upper/Upper middle	Middle	Working/Lower
FORM	Form A	50%	51%	45%	55%	50%	51%	56%	49%	51%	49%	53%
	Form B	50%	49%	55%	45%	50%	49%	44%	51%	49%	51%	47%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.1 PHONE	Cell	68%	68%	67%	78%	73%	66%	70%	68%	59%	68%	77%
	Landline	32%	32%	33%	22%	27%	34%	30%	32%	41%	32%	23%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.3 DIRECTION IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD	Right direction	37%	43%	8%	28%	33%	41%	27%	40%	36%	41%	27%
	Wrong direction	17%	11%	50%	6%	20%	14%	27%	14%	13%	12%	30%
	Both/Combo/Other	45%	45%	40%	66%	46%	44%	44%	45%	50%	45%	43%
	DK/Refused	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	REGION			UNDERSERVED	RACE		GENDER/RACE			
			City	North/NE	South/SE	Zips	People of color	White people	Men of color	White men	Women of color	White women
Q.4 FEELINGS TOWARD CJCC	Very positive	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	4%	1%	2%	1%
	Somewhat positive	8%	9%	5%	7%	10%	7%	8%	5%	8%	8%	8%
	Neutral	15%	16%	13%	16%	19%	13%	18%	15%	17%	11%	18%
	Somewhat negative	2%	1%	2%	4%	2%	3%	1%	4%	2%	3%	1%
	Very negative	3%	4%	0%	4%	4%	5%	1%	4%	3%	6%	0%
	Know name, can't rate	17%	15%	19%	17%	16%	15%	18%	18%	17%	13%	19%
	Don't recognize	53%	53%	59%	50%	48%	54%	52%	50%	51%	57%	53%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.4 CJCC	Positive	10%	11%	7%	9%	11%	10%	9%	9%	9%	10%	9%
	Neutral	15%	16%	13%	16%	19%	13%	18%	15%	17%	11%	18%
	Negative	6%	5%	2%	8%	5%	9%	3%	8%	5%	9%	1%
Total		31%	32%	22%	33%	36%	31%	30%	32%	31%	30%	28%
		138	65	19	54	52	72	61	35	31	37	31

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	GENDER		AGE				YEARS IN EBR		
			Men	Women	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	15 or less	16-25	Over 25
Q.4 FEELINGS TOWARD CJCC	Very positive	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	2%	1%
	Somewhat positive	8%	7%	8%	5%	7%	10%	8%	9%	7%	6%
	Neutral	15%	16%	15%	20%	11%	19%	11%	10%	22%	15%
	Somewhat negative	2%	3%	2%	5%	4%	1%	0%	2%	2%	2%
	Very negative	3%	3%	3%	6%	5%	0%	3%	2%	1%	5%
	Know name, can't rate	17%	18%	16%	13%	19%	16%	18%	13%	15%	19%
	Don't recognize	53%	50%	55%	49%	54%	51%	59%	59%	50%	52%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.4 CJCC	Positive	10%	10%	9%	8%	8%	13%	9%	13%	10%	7%
	Neutral	15%	16%	15%	20%	11%	19%	11%	10%	22%	15%
	Negative	6%	6%	5%	10%	8%	1%	3%	4%	4%	7%
Total		31%	32%	29%	38%	27%	33%	23%	27%	35%	29%
		138	68	70	35	42	41	17	26	33	73

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY			CRIME VICTIM HH		ACCUSED/ARREST HH		ECON. CLASS		
			Safe	Unsafe	Other/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Upper/Upper middle	Middle	Working/Lower
Q.4 FEELINGS TOWARD CJCC	Very positive	2%	2%	0%	0%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%
	Somewhat positive	8%	7%	10%	10%	3%	11%	7%	9%	11%	6%	9%
	Neutral	15%	16%	12%	6%	20%	11%	18%	14%	20%	14%	15%
	Somewhat negative	2%	1%	9%	6%	2%	3%	5%	2%	1%	2%	4%
	Very negative	3%	2%	6%	19%	4%	3%	8%	3%	1%	2%	8%
	Know name, can't rate	17%	16%	19%	21%	18%	14%	13%	16%	21%	17%	11%
	Don't recognize	53%	55%	43%	37%	52%	55%	46%	55%	44%	57%	52%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.4 CJCC	Positive	10%	9%	10%	10%	4%	13%	10%	10%	13%	8%	10%
	Neutral	15%	16%	12%	6%	20%	11%	18%	14%	20%	14%	15%
	Negative	6%	3%	15%	25%	6%	6%	13%	4%	2%	4%	12%
Total		31%	29%	37%	41%	30%	30%	41%	28%	35%	26%	37%
		138	104	26	7	43	84	33	96	35	60	42

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	REGION			UNDERSERVED	RACE		GENDER/RACE			
			City	North/NE	South/SE	Zips	People of color	White people	Men of color	White men	Women of color	White women
Q.5 FEELINGS TOWARD POLICE DEPT.	Very positive	21%	21%	20%	23%	22%	13%	28%	14%	30%	13%	27%
	Somewhat positive	40%	39%	42%	41%	40%	34%	48%	30%	44%	37%	51%
	Neutral	26%	26%	32%	23%	24%	37%	14%	43%	16%	32%	13%
	Somewhat negative	6%	7%	3%	5%	8%	7%	4%	3%	4%	10%	5%
	Very negative	5%	6%	2%	6%	5%	8%	3%	9%	4%	7%	2%
	Know name, can't rate	2%	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	3%	1%	3%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.5 POLICE DEPT.	Positive	61%	59%	62%	63%	62%	47%	76%	44%	74%	49%	78%
	Neutral	26%	26%	32%	23%	24%	37%	14%	43%	16%	32%	13%
	Negative	11%	13%	6%	11%	13%	15%	7%	12%	7%	17%	7%
Total		98%	99%	100%	97%	99%	99%	97%	99%	97%	99%	98%
		442	197	85	160	143	231	202	109	96	122	106

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	GENDER		AGE				YEARS IN EBR		
			Men	Women	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	15 or less	16-25	Over 25
Q.5 FEELINGS TOWARD POLICE DEPT.	Very positive	21%	22%	20%	18%	15%	25%	32%	17%	21%	23%
	Somewhat positive	40%	37%	43%	43%	39%	39%	41%	32%	40%	42%
	Neutral	26%	30%	23%	21%	35%	23%	16%	37%	27%	22%
	Somewhat negative	6%	3%	7%	8%	3%	8%	3%	4%	7%	6%
	Very negative	5%	6%	5%	9%	6%	2%	4%	7%	4%	6%
	Know name, can't rate	2%	2%	2%	0%	1%	2%	4%	2%	1%	2%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.5 POLICE DEPT.	Positive	61%	59%	63%	61%	54%	64%	73%	50%	61%	65%
	Neutral	26%	30%	23%	21%	35%	23%	16%	37%	27%	22%
	Negative	11%	10%	12%	18%	10%	10%	7%	11%	11%	11%
Total		98%	98%	98%	100%	99%	98%	96%	98%	99%	98%
		442	209	233	93	152	122	71	94	91	250

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY			CRIME VICTIM HH		ACCUSED/ARREST HH		ECON. CLASS		
			Safe	Unsafe	Other/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Upper/Upper middle	Middle	Working/Lower
Q.5 FEELINGS TOWARD POLICE DEPT.	Very positive	21%	25%	5%	11%	21%	23%	16%	23%	23%	25%	11%
	Somewhat positive	40%	42%	32%	38%	43%	39%	44%	40%	44%	39%	41%
	Neutral	26%	24%	36%	28%	20%	27%	17%	27%	22%	26%	29%
	Somewhat negative	6%	4%	11%	17%	10%	3%	9%	5%	4%	5%	9%
	Very negative	5%	3%	15%	6%	6%	5%	13%	4%	3%	5%	9%
	Know name, can't rate	2%	2%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%	2%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.5 POLICE DEPT.	Positive	61%	67%	37%	48%	64%	62%	60%	63%	67%	64%	52%
	Neutral	26%	24%	36%	28%	20%	27%	17%	27%	22%	26%	29%
	Negative	11%	7%	27%	24%	16%	9%	22%	9%	7%	10%	17%
Total		98%	98%	100%	100%	99%	98%	99%	98%	96%	99%	98%
		442	355	70	18	142	271	81	336	95	228	111

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	REGION			UNDERSERVED	RACE		GENDER/RACE			
			City	North/NE	South/SE	Zips	People of color	White people	Men of color	White men	Women of color	White women
Q.6 FEELINGS TOWARD PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE	Very positive	8%	8%	6%	9%	8%	7%	9%	5%	13%	8%	6%
	Somewhat positive	28%	25%	24%	34%	26%	22%	35%	19%	38%	25%	33%
	Neutral	33%	38%	36%	25%	41%	36%	31%	37%	27%	34%	36%
	Somewhat negative	7%	10%	7%	4%	10%	8%	6%	10%	6%	6%	7%
	Very negative	4%	3%	2%	5%	3%	5%	3%	7%	5%	4%	1%
	Know name, can't rate	17%	13%	21%	19%	10%	20%	14%	18%	12%	21%	15%
	Don't recognize	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	1%	2%	3%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.6 PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE	Positive	36%	33%	31%	43%	33%	29%	45%	24%	50%	33%	39%
	Neutral	33%	38%	36%	25%	41%	36%	31%	37%	27%	34%	36%
	Negative	11%	14%	9%	9%	13%	13%	9%	17%	10%	10%	8%
Total		81%	85%	76%	78%	87%	78%	85%	78%	87%	77%	83%
		362	170	64	129	126	181	175	86	86	95	89

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	GENDER		AGE				YEARS IN EBR		
			Men	Women	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	15 or less	16-25	Over 25
Q.6 FEELINGS TOWARD PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE	Very positive	8%	9%	7%	7%	4%	11%	13%	6%	6%	10%
	Somewhat positive	28%	28%	29%	34%	23%	29%	31%	28%	30%	27%
	Neutral	33%	32%	34%	37%	32%	35%	27%	37%	38%	30%
	Somewhat negative	7%	8%	6%	7%	7%	5%	11%	4%	6%	9%
	Very negative	4%	6%	2%	5%	7%	1%	1%	2%	6%	4%
	Know name, can't rate	17%	15%	18%	7%	24%	17%	15%	20%	12%	17%
	Don't recognize	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.6 PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE	Positive	36%	37%	36%	40%	27%	40%	44%	34%	36%	37%
	Neutral	33%	32%	34%	37%	32%	35%	27%	37%	38%	30%
	Negative	11%	14%	9%	12%	14%	6%	12%	6%	11%	13%
Total		81%	83%	79%	89%	73%	82%	83%	77%	85%	80%
		362	176	187	83	112	102	61	74	79	203

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY			CRIME VICTIM HH		ACCUSED/ARREST HH		ECON. CLASS		
			Safe	Unsafe	Other/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Upper/Upper middle	Middle	Working/Lower
Q.6 FEELINGS TOWARD PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE	Very positive	8%	9%	3%	6%	5%	10%	6%	9%	11%	9%	4%
	Somewhat positive	28%	30%	21%	20%	23%	33%	24%	31%	23%	31%	29%
	Neutral	33%	35%	22%	33%	41%	29%	36%	32%	32%	33%	36%
	Somewhat negative	7%	6%	9%	23%	13%	4%	13%	6%	8%	7%	8%
	Very negative	4%	3%	9%	6%	2%	5%	6%	4%	3%	3%	6%
	Know name, can't rate	17%	14%	32%	6%	13%	17%	10%	17%	22%	16%	14%
	Don't recognize	3%	3%	3%	6%	3%	2%	4%	2%	1%	2%	4%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.6 PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE	Positive	36%	39%	24%	26%	28%	43%	30%	39%	34%	40%	34%
	Neutral	33%	35%	22%	33%	41%	29%	36%	32%	32%	33%	36%
	Negative	11%	9%	19%	29%	15%	9%	19%	10%	11%	10%	13%
Total		81%	83%	65%	88%	84%	80%	86%	81%	77%	82%	82%
		362	301	45	16	120	222	70	278	76	189	93

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	REGION			UNDERSERVED	RACE		GENDER/RACE			
			City	North/NE	South/SE	Zips	People of color	White people	Men of color	White men	Women of color	White women
Q.7 FEELINGS TOWARD DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	Very positive	12%	12%	7%	14%	12%	8%	16%	5%	23%	11%	9%
	Somewhat positive	36%	35%	33%	38%	37%	24%	48%	22%	45%	26%	52%
	Neutral	27%	31%	30%	22%	32%	35%	19%	41%	17%	30%	22%
	Somewhat negative	4%	5%	5%	3%	5%	6%	3%	5%	5%	6%	2%
	Very negative	6%	6%	3%	7%	7%	9%	3%	8%	4%	9%	3%
	Know name, can't rate	13%	9%	20%	15%	6%	17%	9%	17%	7%	16%	11%
	Don't recognize	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	3%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.7 D.A.'S OFFICE	Positive	47%	47%	40%	51%	49%	32%	64%	27%	68%	37%	61%
	Neutral	27%	31%	30%	22%	32%	35%	19%	41%	17%	30%	22%
	Negative	10%	12%	8%	10%	12%	14%	6%	13%	8%	16%	4%
Total		85%	90%	78%	83%	93%	82%	90%	82%	93%	82%	87%
		383	179	66	137	134	191	185	90	91	101	94

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	GENDER		AGE				YEARS IN EBR		
			Men	Women	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	15 or less	16-25	Over 25
Q.7 FEELINGS TOWARD DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	Very positive	12%	14%	10%	8%	8%	16%	18%	9%	9%	14%
	Somewhat positive	36%	33%	38%	37%	32%	34%	43%	31%	34%	37%
	Neutral	27%	30%	26%	32%	27%	28%	22%	31%	33%	24%
	Somewhat negative	4%	5%	4%	6%	4%	4%	4%	2%	4%	6%
	Very negative	6%	6%	6%	8%	9%	3%	3%	7%	8%	5%
	Know name, can't rate	13%	12%	14%	6%	19%	14%	9%	19%	10%	12%
	Don't recognize	2%	0%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.7 D.A.'S OFFICE	Positive	47%	47%	48%	46%	40%	50%	61%	41%	43%	51%
	Neutral	27%	30%	26%	32%	27%	28%	22%	31%	33%	24%
	Negative	10%	11%	10%	14%	13%	6%	7%	9%	11%	11%
Total		85%	87%	83%	92%	79%	84%	89%	80%	88%	86%
		383	185	198	86	122	105	66	76	81	218

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY			CRIME VICTIM HH		ACCUSED/ARREST HH		ECON. CLASS		
			Safe	Unsafe	Other/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Upper/Upper middle	Middle	Working/Lower
Q.7 FEELINGS TOWARD DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	Very positive	12%	14%	4%	0%	10%	13%	10%	13%	13%	14%	5%
	Somewhat positive	36%	39%	19%	36%	39%	35%	32%	38%	36%	39%	31%
	Neutral	27%	28%	22%	29%	29%	26%	30%	26%	23%	25%	35%
	Somewhat negative	4%	3%	11%	11%	8%	3%	10%	3%	5%	3%	7%
	Very negative	6%	5%	9%	12%	6%	6%	12%	5%	2%	6%	9%
	Know name, can't rate	13%	10%	33%	6%	7%	15%	5%	13%	21%	12%	10%
	Don't recognize	2%	2%	1%	6%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	3%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.7 D.A.'S OFFICE	Positive	47%	53%	23%	36%	49%	49%	41%	51%	49%	53%	36%
	Neutral	27%	28%	22%	29%	29%	26%	30%	26%	23%	25%	35%
	Negative	10%	8%	21%	24%	14%	9%	22%	8%	7%	9%	16%
Total		85%	89%	66%	88%	92%	84%	94%	85%	79%	87%	87%
		383	321	46	16	131	231	76	292	79	200	99

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	REGION			UNDERSERVED	RACE		GENDER/RACE			
			City	North/NE	South/SE	Zips	People of color	White people	Men of color	White men	Women of color	White women
Q.8 FEELINGS TOWARD SHERIFF'S DEPT.	Very positive	26%	25%	28%	28%	22%	15%	38%	13%	43%	16%	33%
	Somewhat positive	35%	37%	33%	35%	43%	33%	40%	33%	34%	33%	45%
	Neutral	23%	23%	31%	18%	23%	31%	14%	37%	13%	26%	15%
	Somewhat negative	5%	6%	2%	4%	6%	6%	3%	4%	5%	8%	3%
	Very negative	4%	5%	0%	5%	5%	6%	1%	6%	1%	6%	2%
	Know name, can't rate	6%	4%	5%	10%	2%	9%	4%	7%	5%	10%	3%
	Don't recognize	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.8 SHERIFF'S DEPT.	Positive	62%	62%	61%	63%	65%	47%	77%	45%	77%	49%	78%
	Neutral	23%	23%	31%	18%	23%	31%	14%	37%	13%	26%	15%
	Negative	9%	11%	2%	9%	11%	13%	5%	10%	6%	15%	4%
Total		94%	96%	94%	90%	98%	91%	96%	93%	95%	90%	97%
		421	193	80	148	142	213	199	102	94	111	105

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	GENDER		AGE				YEARS IN EBR		
			Men	Women	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	15 or less	16-25	Over 25
Q.8 FEELINGS TOWARD SHERIFF'S DEPT.	Very positive	26%	28%	25%	22%	20%	29%	39%	19%	22%	31%
	Somewhat positive	35%	33%	38%	36%	33%	39%	36%	36%	35%	35%
	Neutral	23%	26%	20%	23%	27%	21%	16%	26%	28%	20%
	Somewhat negative	5%	4%	5%	8%	6%	3%	2%	2%	8%	5%
	Very negative	4%	4%	4%	6%	5%	3%	2%	8%	3%	3%
	Know name, can't rate	6%	6%	7%	5%	8%	5%	5%	9%	5%	6%
	Don't recognize	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.8 SHERIFF'S DEPT.	Positive	62%	61%	63%	59%	53%	67%	75%	55%	56%	66%
	Neutral	23%	26%	20%	23%	27%	21%	16%	26%	28%	20%
	Negative	9%	8%	9%	14%	11%	6%	3%	10%	11%	8%
Total		94%	94%	93%	95%	92%	94%	95%	91%	95%	94%
		421	200	220	89	141	117	70	87	88	238

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY			CRIME VICTIM HH		ACCUSED/ARREST HH		ECON. CLASS		
			Safe	Unsafe	Other/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Upper/Upper middle	Middle	Working/Lower
Q.8 FEELINGS TOWARD SHERIFF'S DEPT.	Very positive	26%	30%	9%	11%	32%	25%	24%	28%	28%	31%	15%
	Somewhat positive	35%	37%	29%	36%	33%	38%	34%	37%	42%	35%	34%
	Neutral	23%	21%	33%	28%	20%	23%	21%	21%	17%	21%	30%
	Somewhat negative	5%	3%	13%	6%	10%	3%	11%	4%	3%	3%	10%
	Very negative	4%	3%	8%	12%	2%	5%	6%	4%	2%	4%	6%
	Know name, can't rate	6%	6%	8%	6%	4%	6%	4%	6%	7%	6%	5%
	Don't recognize	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.8 SHERIFF'S DEPT.	Positive	62%	67%	39%	47%	65%	63%	58%	65%	70%	65%	49%
	Neutral	23%	21%	33%	28%	20%	23%	21%	21%	17%	21%	30%
	Negative	9%	6%	21%	19%	12%	8%	17%	7%	5%	7%	16%
Total		94%	94%	92%	94%	96%	93%	96%	94%	93%	94%	95%
		421	340	64	17	138	258	79	321	92	215	107

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	REGION			UNDERSERVED	RACE		GENDER/RACE			
			City	North/NE	South/SE	Zips	People of color	White people	Men of color	White men	Women of color	White women
Q.9 FEELINGS TOWARD CAPITAL AREA HUMAN SERVICES	Very positive	8%	10%	6%	6%	12%	10%	5%	7%	4%	13%	6%
	Somewhat positive	23%	26%	17%	22%	23%	19%	27%	16%	30%	23%	24%
	Neutral	20%	20%	15%	24%	21%	15%	26%	21%	28%	11%	25%
	Somewhat negative	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%
	Very negative	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	0%
	Know name, can't rate	16%	14%	19%	15%	16%	18%	13%	20%	10%	16%	16%
	Don't recognize	30%	26%	39%	30%	24%	33%	26%	31%	24%	34%	28%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.9 CAPITAL AREA HUMAN SERVICES	Positive	31%	36%	23%	28%	35%	29%	32%	23%	34%	35%	30%
	Neutral	20%	20%	15%	24%	21%	15%	26%	21%	28%	11%	25%
	Negative	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	4%	3%	5%	5%	4%	1%
Total		54%	60%	42%	54%	60%	49%	61%	48%	66%	50%	56%
		244	119	35	90	87	114	126	53	65	61	61

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	GENDER		AGE				YEARS IN EBR		
			Men	Women	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	15 or less	16-25	Over 25
Q.9 FEELINGS TOWARD CAPITAL AREA HUMAN SERVICES	Very positive	8%	6%	9%	6%	6%	12%	7%	5%	5%	10%
	Somewhat positive	23%	22%	23%	22%	25%	22%	21%	21%	15%	26%
	Neutral	20%	24%	17%	31%	17%	16%	20%	27%	26%	16%
	Somewhat negative	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%
	Very negative	2%	2%	1%	4%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	3%
	Know name, can't rate	16%	15%	16%	11%	19%	16%	15%	17%	18%	14%
	Don't recognize	30%	28%	32%	24%	31%	31%	35%	27%	34%	30%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.9 CAPITAL AREA HUMAN SERVICES	Positive	31%	28%	32%	27%	31%	34%	28%	26%	19%	36%
	Neutral	20%	24%	17%	31%	17%	16%	20%	27%	26%	16%
	Negative	3%	5%	2%	7%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	5%
Total		54%	57%	52%	65%	50%	54%	51%	55%	48%	56%
		244	120	124	61	77	67	37	53	44	144

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY			CRIME VICTIM HH		ACCUSED/ARREST HH		ECON. CLASS		
			Safe	Unsafe	Other/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Upper/Upper middle	Middle	Working/Lower
Q.9 FEELINGS TOWARD CAPITAL AREA HUMAN SERVICES	Very positive	8%	8%	9%	5%	9%	7%	14%	6%	6%	7%	9%
	Somewhat positive	23%	25%	9%	28%	24%	23%	22%	24%	21%	26%	19%
	Neutral	20%	21%	17%	11%	13%	24%	11%	22%	19%	21%	21%
	Somewhat negative	2%	1%	3%	6%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%
	Very negative	2%	1%	8%	0%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%	1%	5%
	Know name, can't rate	16%	15%	20%	12%	14%	16%	15%	15%	22%	14%	15%
	Don't recognize	30%	29%	35%	37%	37%	26%	32%	29%	30%	30%	29%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.9 CAPITAL AREA HUMAN SERVICES	Positive	31%	33%	18%	33%	33%	30%	37%	30%	27%	33%	28%
	Neutral	20%	21%	17%	11%	13%	24%	11%	22%	19%	21%	21%
	Negative	3%	2%	11%	6%	2%	4%	5%	3%	2%	3%	7%
Total		54%	56%	46%	51%	49%	58%	52%	56%	48%	56%	55%
		244	203	32	9	70	160	43	191	48	130	63

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	REGION			UNDERSERVED	RACE		GENDER/RACE			
			City	North/NE	South/SE	Zips	People of color	White people	Men of color	White men	Women of color	White women
Q.10 REACTION TO CJCC DESCRIPTION	Very favorable	31%	29%	27%	35%	31%	28%	34%	26%	37%	30%	32%
	Somewhat favorable	47%	50%	46%	42%	50%	50%	43%	49%	39%	51%	48%
	Not very favorable	5%	5%	6%	5%	4%	6%	5%	6%	6%	5%	3%
	Not favorable at all	4%	3%	6%	5%	2%	5%	3%	7%	6%	4%	2%
	DK/Refused	13%	13%	15%	12%	13%	11%	14%	12%	13%	10%	16%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.11 NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY	Very safe	14%	14%	16%	13%	13%	11%	17%	10%	18%	12%	16%
	Safe	66%	64%	64%	71%	60%	62%	72%	69%	72%	56%	71%
	Unsafe	13%	13%	16%	11%	15%	20%	7%	16%	6%	23%	8%
	Very unsafe	2%	4%	1%	1%	5%	4%	1%	2%	1%	5%	1%
	Other/Neither	3%	4%	2%	3%	5%	3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	4%
	DK/Refused	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.12 POLICE PRESENCE IN NEIGHBORHOOD	Too much	3%	5%	1%	3%	5%	7%	0%	4%	0%	9%	0%
	About right	57%	55%	60%	59%	56%	47%	68%	51%	70%	43%	67%
	Not enough	33%	35%	36%	30%	34%	38%	28%	38%	28%	38%	28%
	DK/Refused	6%	5%	2%	9%	6%	9%	3%	7%	2%	10%	5%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	GENDER		AGE				YEARS IN EBR		
			Men	Women	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	15 or less	16-25	Over 25
Q.10 REACTION TO CJCC DESCRIPTION	Very favorable	31%	31%	31%	34%	26%	34%	33%	38%	27%	30%
	Somewhat favorable	47%	44%	49%	44%	49%	49%	40%	41%	51%	47%
	Not very favorable	5%	6%	4%	7%	4%	5%	5%	2%	3%	7%
	Not favorable at all	4%	6%	3%	4%	5%	3%	5%	4%	7%	3%
	DK/Refused	13%	12%	14%	10%	16%	9%	17%	15%	12%	13%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.11 NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY	Very safe	14%	15%	14%	10%	10%	20%	18%	13%	8%	17%
	Safe	66%	70%	63%	69%	68%	65%	62%	72%	71%	62%
	Unsafe	13%	11%	15%	17%	13%	11%	12%	11%	17%	13%
	Very unsafe	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%
	Other/Neither	3%	2%	4%	2%	4%	2%	5%	3%	1%	4%
	DK/Refused	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.12 POLICE PRESENCE IN NEIGHBORHOOD	Too much	3%	2%	5%	6%	2%	4%	3%	5%	7%	2%
	About right	57%	61%	54%	62%	50%	62%	56%	52%	56%	59%
	Not enough	33%	33%	33%	29%	37%	30%	37%	30%	33%	35%
	DK/Refused	6%	5%	7%	2%	11%	4%	4%	13%	4%	4%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY			CRIME VICTIM HH		ACCUSED/ARREST HH		ECON. CLASS		
			Safe	Unsafe	Other/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Upper/Upper middle	Middle	Working/Lower
Q.10 REACTION TO CJCC DESCRIPTION	Very favorable	31%	34%	16%	21%	24%	37%	29%	33%	25%	29%	39%
	Somewhat favorable	47%	48%	43%	42%	48%	47%	42%	49%	51%	50%	37%
	Not very favorable	5%	4%	7%	25%	3%	5%	6%	4%	6%	4%	7%
	Not favorable at all	4%	3%	12%	0%	6%	4%	8%	4%	3%	4%	6%
	DK/Refused	13%	11%	22%	12%	20%	7%	14%	11%	15%	13%	12%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.11 NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY	Very safe	14%	18%	0%	0%	16%	14%	13%	14%	14%	16%	9%
	Safe	66%	82%	0%	0%	62%	70%	61%	70%	72%	68%	62%
	Unsafe	13%	0%	85%	0%	14%	12%	12%	12%	12%	13%	16%
	Very unsafe	2%	0%	15%	0%	4%	2%	6%	2%	0%	1%	7%
	Other/Neither	3%	0%	0%	83%	4%	2%	6%	2%	2%	2%	5%
	DK/Refused	1%	0%	0%	17%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.12 POLICE PRESENCE IN NEIGHBORHOOD	Too much	3%	2%	8%	6%	6%	3%	7%	3%	2%	2%	7%
	About right	57%	67%	7%	47%	58%	58%	56%	58%	58%	63%	46%
	Not enough	33%	27%	68%	28%	32%	35%	30%	35%	33%	31%	39%
	DK/Refused	6%	3%	17%	19%	4%	4%	6%	3%	7%	5%	8%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	REGION			UNDERSERVED	RACE		GENDER/RACE			
			City	North/NE	South/SE	Zips	People of color	White people	Men of color	White men	Women of color	White women
Q.13 LAW TO ALLOW RELEASE OF NON-VIOLENT SUSPECTS WITH NOTICE TO APPEAR IN COURT	Support	42%	53%	33%	32%	53%	49%	34%	53%	36%	45%	33%
	Oppose	37%	24%	42%	52%	22%	28%	48%	29%	49%	26%	48%
	DK/Refused	21%	23%	25%	16%	25%	23%	17%	17%	16%	29%	18%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.14 BELIEVE PERSON'S INABILITY TO PAY BAIL IS REASON TO REMAIN IN JAIL	Yes	36%	29%	45%	40%	26%	30%	41%	31%	53%	28%	29%
	No	43%	47%	36%	42%	47%	47%	41%	45%	28%	48%	55%
	DK/Refused	21%	24%	19%	18%	27%	24%	17%	24%	19%	24%	16%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		227	99	45	83	74	126	94	56	48	70	46
Q.15 BELIEVE PERSON'S ABILITY TO PAY BAIL IS REASON TO BE RELEASED	Yes	26%	20%	44%	24%	20%	23%	29%	32%	39%	15%	20%
	No	54%	54%	33%	65%	54%	54%	54%	53%	46%	55%	61%
	DK/Refused	20%	26%	23%	12%	26%	23%	17%	15%	14%	31%	19%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		223	101	40	82	70	107	113	54	51	53	62

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	GENDER		AGE				YEARS IN EBR		
			Men	Women	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	15 or less	16-25	Over 25
Q.13 LAW TO ALLOW RELEASE OF NON-VIOLENT SUSPECTS WITH NOTICE TO APPEAR IN COURT	Support	42%	45%	39%	49%	44%	41%	32%	54%	34%	41%
	Oppose	37%	38%	37%	33%	36%	37%	48%	25%	44%	40%
	DK/Refused	21%	17%	24%	18%	20%	22%	20%	21%	22%	19%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.14 BELIEVE PERSON'S INABILITY TO PAY BAIL IS REASON TO REMAIN IN JAIL	Yes	36%	42%	31%	37%	34%	39%	35%	37%	39%	35%
	No	43%	37%	49%	50%	44%	42%	35%	46%	44%	42%
	DK/Refused	21%	21%	20%	14%	22%	19%	29%	16%	17%	23%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		227	106	121	47	80	66	32	48	49	127
Q.15 BELIEVE PERSON'S ABILITY TO PAY BAIL IS REASON TO BE RELEASED	Yes	26%	35%	17%	24%	26%	32%	20%	23%	25%	28%
	No	54%	51%	57%	49%	54%	50%	64%	48%	58%	55%
	DK/Refused	20%	14%	25%	27%	20%	18%	16%	29%	17%	17%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		223	106	117	46	74	59	42	47	43	128

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY			CRIME VICTIM HH		ACCUSED/ARREST HH		ECON. CLASS		
			Safe	Unsafe	Other/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Upper/Upper middle	Middle	Working/Lower
Q.13 LAW TO ALLOW RELEASE OF NON-VIOLENT SUSPECTS WITH NOTICE TO APPEAR IN COURT	Support	42%	41%	43%	44%	45%	42%	61%	38%	41%	39%	50%
	Oppose	37%	38%	33%	34%	32%	39%	28%	40%	39%	39%	34%
	DK/Refused	21%	20%	23%	22%	23%	18%	11%	22%	20%	23%	16%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.14 BELIEVE PERSON'S INABILITY TO PAY BAIL IS REASON TO REMAIN IN JAIL	Yes	36%	38%	30%	27%	29%	41%	35%	37%	29%	39%	36%
	No	43%	43%	49%	21%	48%	41%	56%	40%	46%	42%	45%
	DK/Refused	21%	19%	21%	51%	23%	18%	9%	23%	25%	18%	20%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		227	186	31	10	71	141	45	167	51	112	60
Q.15 BELIEVE PERSON'S ABILITY TO PAY BAIL IS REASON TO BE RELEASED	Yes	26%	26%	29%	14%	27%	25%	33%	24%	22%	27%	25%
	No	54%	56%	46%	64%	56%	53%	44%	57%	53%	58%	47%
	DK/Refused	20%	19%	25%	22%	17%	22%	23%	19%	25%	15%	28%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		223	176	39	8	72	135	36	175	48	117	54

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	REGION			UNDERSERVED	RACE		GENDER/RACE			
			City	North/NE	South/SE	Zips	People of color	White people	Men of color	White men	Women of color	White women
Q.16 STATEMENT: UNDERSTAND HOW EBR JUSTICE SYSTEM WORKS	Strongly agree	14%	22%	8%	8%	19%	12%	16%	10%	17%	14%	14%
	Agree	50%	47%	52%	52%	46%	41%	62%	42%	67%	40%	58%
	Disagree	22%	19%	23%	25%	22%	28%	15%	29%	10%	28%	19%
	Strongly disagree	6%	6%	4%	6%	6%	8%	3%	7%	3%	9%	3%
	DK/Refused	8%	6%	14%	8%	7%	10%	4%	12%	3%	9%	6%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.17 STATEMENT: MORE DIFFICULT FOR PEOPLE IN POVERTY TO NAVIGATE JUSTICE SYSTEM	Strongly agree	25%	30%	21%	21%	26%	26%	25%	22%	22%	29%	28%
	Agree	46%	50%	43%	44%	51%	46%	46%	50%	60%	42%	34%
	Disagree	12%	10%	12%	14%	10%	9%	15%	10%	10%	9%	19%
	Strongly disagree	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	3%	2%
	DK/Refused	15%	8%	23%	20%	10%	18%	13%	18%	7%	17%	18%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.18 STATEMENT: BELIEVE EBR JUSTICE SYSTEM TREATS PEOPLE FAIRLY	Strongly agree	18%	25%	14%	11%	23%	11%	28%	2%	25%	19%	31%
	Agree	40%	31%	51%	44%	29%	34%	47%	39%	51%	30%	43%
	Disagree	24%	27%	13%	26%	29%	31%	14%	37%	15%	26%	14%
	Strongly disagree	6%	11%	0%	4%	12%	8%	4%	6%	4%	10%	4%
	DK/Refused	12%	6%	21%	15%	8%	16%	7%	16%	6%	16%	8%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		227	99	45	83	74	126	94	56	48	70	46

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	GENDER		AGE				YEARS IN EBR		
			Men	Women	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	15 or less	16-25	Over 25
Q.16 STATEMENT: UNDERSTAND HOW EBR JUSTICE SYSTEM WORKS	Strongly agree	14%	14%	14%	13%	12%	17%	14%	14%	11%	15%
	Agree	50%	53%	47%	46%	49%	55%	51%	45%	54%	51%
	Disagree	22%	21%	24%	32%	21%	16%	20%	23%	24%	21%
	Strongly disagree	6%	5%	6%	3%	10%	2%	5%	11%	3%	4%
	DK/Refused	8%	8%	9%	6%	8%	9%	10%	6%	9%	9%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.17 STATEMENT: MORE DIFFICULT FOR PEOPLE IN POVERTY TO NAVIGATE JUSTICE SYSTEM	Strongly agree	25%	22%	28%	27%	22%	28%	23%	31%	22%	23%
	Agree	46%	55%	39%	45%	53%	40%	48%	50%	45%	46%
	Disagree	12%	10%	13%	16%	8%	13%	14%	4%	16%	13%
	Strongly disagree	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	2%
	DK/Refused	15%	13%	18%	12%	17%	17%	14%	13%	17%	16%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.18 STATEMENT: BELIEVE EBR JUSTICE SYSTEM TREATS PEOPLE FAIRLY	Strongly agree	18%	12%	23%	18%	16%	14%	30%	15%	14%	21%
	Agree	40%	45%	36%	27%	38%	51%	45%	44%	38%	40%
	Disagree	24%	27%	21%	39%	28%	13%	12%	19%	31%	22%
	Strongly disagree	6%	5%	7%	2%	6%	8%	6%	9%	4%	6%
	DK/Refused	12%	11%	14%	14%	12%	14%	7%	13%	14%	11%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		227	106	121	47	80	66	32	48	49	127

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY			CRIME VICTIM HH		ACCUSED/ARREST HH		ECON. CLASS		
			Safe	Unsafe	Other/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Upper/Upper middle	Middle	Working/Lower
Q.16 STATEMENT: UNDERSTAND HOW EBR JUSTICE SYSTEM WORKS	Strongly agree	14%	16%	6%	0%	20%	11%	20%	13%	22%	12%	12%
	Agree	50%	53%	38%	36%	48%	52%	51%	51%	59%	48%	47%
	Disagree	22%	19%	32%	42%	22%	22%	19%	23%	8%	27%	25%
	Strongly disagree	6%	5%	10%	0%	4%	5%	5%	5%	6%	5%	6%
	DK/Refused	8%	6%	14%	22%	5%	10%	5%	8%	5%	8%	10%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.17 STATEMENT: MORE DIFFICULT FOR PEOPLE IN POVERTY TO NAVIGATE JUSTICE SYSTEM	Strongly agree	25%	26%	22%	15%	28%	25%	33%	25%	23%	24%	29%
	Agree	46%	47%	39%	63%	44%	46%	43%	47%	49%	46%	44%
	Disagree	12%	11%	17%	10%	16%	10%	16%	10%	10%	13%	11%
	Strongly disagree	1%	1%	2%	6%	0%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%
	DK/Refused	15%	15%	20%	6%	12%	17%	8%	16%	17%	15%	14%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.18 STATEMENT: BELIEVE EBR JUSTICE SYSTEM TREATS PEOPLE FAIRLY	Strongly agree	18%	21%	4%	0%	26%	14%	12%	21%	26%	20%	7%
	Agree	40%	40%	34%	48%	36%	44%	41%	41%	38%	40%	41%
	Disagree	24%	22%	34%	31%	20%	25%	28%	22%	16%	21%	36%
	Strongly disagree	6%	4%	14%	11%	4%	7%	12%	5%	6%	5%	9%
	DK/Refused	12%	12%	14%	10%	13%	10%	7%	12%	14%	14%	7%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		227	186	31	10	71	141	45	167	51	112	60

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	REGION			UNDERSERVED	RACE		GENDER/RACE			
			City	North/NE	South/SE	Zips	People of color	White people	Men of color	White men	Women of color	White women
Q.19 STATEMENT: BELIEVE EBR JUSTICE SYSTEM TREATS PEOPLE LIKE ME FAIRLY	Strongly agree	21%	30%	21%	11%	22%	9%	34%	16%	25%	2%	41%
	Agree	41%	29%	47%	53%	34%	38%	44%	40%	50%	35%	39%
	Disagree	22%	30%	13%	17%	33%	34%	11%	29%	13%	40%	10%
	Strongly disagree	6%	4%	3%	9%	3%	7%	4%	4%	4%	11%	4%
	DK/Refused	9%	7%	16%	9%	8%	12%	7%	10%	9%	13%	6%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		223	101	40	82	70	107	113	54	51	53	62
Q.20 STATEMENT: BETTER PAID POLICE WILL HELP REDUCE CRIME IN BR	Strongly agree	17%	21%	16%	13%	17%	12%	23%	11%	15%	13%	30%
	Agree	29%	27%	37%	26%	25%	30%	28%	28%	28%	31%	28%
	Disagree	32%	29%	28%	37%	32%	30%	33%	34%	38%	27%	29%
	Strongly disagree	8%	8%	9%	8%	9%	12%	4%	12%	6%	13%	2%
	DK/Refused	14%	15%	10%	16%	17%	16%	13%	15%	14%	16%	12%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.21 STATEMENT: WOULD PARTICIPATE IN DISCUSSION ABOUT CRIME IN NEIGHBORHOOD	Strongly agree	16%	27%	2%	12%	28%	12%	23%	2%	23%	21%	24%
	Agree	38%	37%	50%	32%	40%	45%	29%	47%	34%	43%	24%
	Disagree	14%	5%	16%	22%	1%	12%	14%	17%	15%	8%	14%
	Strongly disagree	8%	12%	14%	1%	10%	4%	14%	0%	11%	8%	18%
	DK/Refused	24%	19%	18%	33%	21%	26%	19%	34%	17%	21%	22%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		227	99	45	83	74	126	94	56	48	70	46

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	GENDER		AGE				YEARS IN EBR		
			Men	Women	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	15 or less	16-25	Over 25
Q.19 STATEMENT: BELIEVE EBR JUSTICE SYSTEM TREATS PEOPLE LIKE ME FAIRLY	Strongly agree	21%	20%	23%	15%	16%	32%	24%	15%	18%	25%
	Agree	41%	45%	38%	42%	38%	40%	47%	43%	41%	41%
	Disagree	22%	21%	23%	35%	30%	9%	14%	18%	34%	20%
	Strongly disagree	6%	4%	7%	4%	5%	8%	5%	9%	5%	5%
	DK/Refused	9%	10%	9%	4%	11%	11%	10%	15%	3%	9%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		223	106	117	46	74	59	42	47	43	128
Q.20 STATEMENT: BETTER PAID POLICE WILL HELP REDUCE CRIME IN BR	Strongly agree	17%	13%	21%	14%	12%	22%	22%	16%	12%	20%
	Agree	29%	27%	30%	21%	25%	40%	30%	22%	30%	31%
	Disagree	32%	36%	28%	40%	35%	20%	33%	27%	35%	32%
	Strongly disagree	8%	9%	7%	8%	10%	9%	5%	11%	13%	6%
	DK/Refused	14%	15%	14%	16%	19%	10%	9%	24%	11%	11%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.21 STATEMENT: WOULD PARTICIPATE IN DISCUSSION ABOUT CRIME IN NEIGHBORHOOD	Strongly agree	16%	11%	21%	23%	14%	14%	16%	15%	12%	19%
	Agree	38%	41%	35%	47%	33%	41%	30%	32%	37%	41%
	Disagree	14%	16%	11%	17%	10%	15%	15%	12%	18%	13%
	Strongly disagree	8%	5%	11%	2%	5%	12%	20%	6%	0%	13%
	DK/Refused	24%	26%	22%	11%	38%	17%	19%	35%	33%	15%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		227	106	121	47	80	66	32	48	49	127

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY			CRIME VICTIM HH		ACCUSED/ARREST HH		ECON. CLASS		
			Safe	Unsafe	Other/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Upper/Upper middle	Middle	Working/Lower
Q.19 STATEMENT: BELIEVE EBR JUSTICE SYSTEM TREATS PEOPLE LIKE ME FAIRLY	Strongly agree	21%	25%	9%	0%	32%	15%	28%	21%	32%	21%	15%
	Agree	41%	46%	24%	11%	25%	52%	20%	46%	43%	46%	29%
	Disagree	22%	16%	44%	53%	30%	18%	34%	19%	14%	19%	36%
	Strongly disagree	6%	5%	9%	0%	10%	4%	9%	5%	2%	6%	6%
	DK/Refused	9%	7%	14%	36%	3%	11%	9%	9%	9%	8%	13%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		223	176	39	8	72	135	36	175	48	117	54
Q.20 STATEMENT: BETTER PAID POLICE WILL HELP REDUCE CRIME IN BR	Strongly agree	17%	19%	4%	22%	20%	16%	14%	19%	24%	17%	11%
	Agree	29%	28%	28%	38%	29%	30%	29%	29%	44%	26%	21%
	Disagree	32%	33%	29%	17%	31%	32%	31%	32%	15%	37%	36%
	Strongly disagree	8%	8%	14%	0%	10%	7%	12%	7%	5%	7%	13%
	DK/Refused	14%	12%	25%	23%	11%	15%	14%	13%	13%	12%	19%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.21 STATEMENT: WOULD PARTICIPATE IN DISCUSSION ABOUT CRIME IN NEIGHBORHOOD	Strongly agree	16%	19%	0%	27%	23%	15%	24%	16%	23%	20%	6%
	Agree	38%	37%	42%	40%	46%	37%	42%	39%	31%	31%	57%
	Disagree	14%	17%	0%	0%	8%	17%	9%	15%	8%	17%	13%
	Strongly disagree	8%	8%	14%	0%	15%	4%	14%	8%	9%	9%	7%
	DK/Refused	24%	20%	44%	33%	7%	28%	11%	23%	29%	23%	17%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		227	186	31	10	71	141	45	167	51	112	60

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	REGION			UNDERSERVED	RACE		GENDER/RACE			
			City	North/NE	South/SE	Zips	People of color	White people	Men of color	White men	Women of color	White women
Q.22 STATEMENT: WOULD WORK WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT TO MAKE NEIGHBORHOOD SAFER	Strongly agree	25%	36%	23%	12%	29%	12%	38%	16%	30%	8%	43%
	Agree	38%	39%	36%	38%	44%	44%	33%	41%	39%	48%	28%
	Disagree	14%	11%	9%	20%	10%	13%	14%	12%	21%	14%	7%
	Strongly disagree	4%	3%	3%	5%	3%	5%	2%	6%	2%	4%	3%
	DK/Refused	19%	10%	28%	25%	13%	25%	14%	25%	7%	25%	19%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		223	101	40	82	70	107	113	54	51	53	62
Q.23 STATEMENT: JUSTICE SYSTEM SHOULD BE DESIGNED TO MEET NEEDS OF ALL PEOPLE	Strongly agree	42%	46%	28%	45%	45%	45%	41%	39%	38%	50%	44%
	Agree	53%	48%	67%	51%	49%	50%	55%	56%	58%	45%	53%
	Disagree	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	4%	2%	1%	1%
	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
	DK/Refused	3%	3%	5%	2%	4%	3%	2%	1%	2%	4%	3%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.24 PRIORITY: REFORMING BOND PRACTICES	Low (0-4)	11%	12%	9%	11%	13%	9%	13%	10%	11%	9%	14%
	Medium (5)	15%	12%	24%	15%	14%	17%	13%	15%	10%	18%	15%
	High (6-10)	60%	63%	55%	59%	59%	65%	56%	71%	64%	59%	48%
	DK/Refused	13%	13%	11%	15%	14%	9%	19%	4%	15%	13%	23%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	GENDER		AGE				YEARS IN EBR		
			Men	Women	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	15 or less	16-25	Over 25
Q.22 STATEMENT: WOULD WORK WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT TO MAKE NEIGHBORHOOD SAFER	Strongly agree	25%	23%	27%	21%	22%	31%	29%	21%	22%	28%
	Agree	38%	39%	38%	48%	35%	38%	36%	33%	37%	42%
	Disagree	14%	18%	10%	24%	9%	9%	15%	6%	21%	13%
	Strongly disagree	4%	4%	3%	0%	7%	2%	4%	9%	3%	2%
	DK/Refused	19%	16%	22%	7%	27%	21%	16%	31%	17%	14%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		223	106	117	46	74	59	42	47	43	128
Q.23 STATEMENT: JUSTICE SYSTEM SHOULD BE DESIGNED TO MEET NEEDS OF ALL PEOPLE	Strongly agree	42%	38%	46%	41%	47%	41%	40%	53%	43%	38%
	Agree	53%	57%	49%	53%	50%	54%	55%	41%	54%	56%
	Disagree	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%
	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	DK/Refused	3%	2%	4%	4%	2%	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.24 PRIORITY: REFORMING BOND PRACTICES	Low (0-4)	11%	10%	12%	10%	12%	11%	11%	8%	13%	12%
	Medium (5)	15%	14%	17%	16%	15%	15%	15%	13%	15%	16%
	High (6-10)	60%	67%	54%	64%	64%	56%	56%	68%	60%	59%
	DK/Refused	13%	9%	17%	10%	10%	17%	18%	11%	13%	13%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY			CRIME VICTIM HH		ACCUSED/ARREST HH		ECON. CLASS		
			Safe	Unsafe	Other/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Upper/Upper middle	Middle	Working/Lower
Q.22 STATEMENT: WOULD WORK WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT TO MAKE NEIGHBORHOOD SAFER	Strongly agree	25%	29%	7%	25%	44%	14%	28%	25%	30%	26%	21%
	Agree	38%	40%	33%	28%	38%	41%	43%	39%	33%	39%	42%
	Disagree	14%	14%	16%	11%	9%	16%	14%	13%	4%	15%	21%
	Strongly disagree	4%	3%	9%	0%	3%	4%	6%	3%	2%	4%	4%
	DK/Refused	19%	14%	36%	36%	6%	23%	9%	19%	31%	17%	12%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		223	176	39	8	72	135	36	175	48	117	54
Q.23 STATEMENT: JUSTICE SYSTEM SHOULD BE DESIGNED TO MEET NEEDS OF ALL PEOPLE	Strongly agree	42%	40%	53%	45%	44%	41%	47%	41%	45%	41%	44%
	Agree	53%	55%	41%	49%	51%	55%	50%	55%	53%	55%	50%
	Disagree	2%	2%	3%	0%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	4%
	Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	DK/Refused	3%	3%	3%	6%	3%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	2%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.24 PRIORITY: REFORMING BOND PRACTICES	Low (0-4)	11%	11%	14%	10%	12%	11%	14%	11%	10%	11%	13%
	Medium (5)	15%	15%	15%	22%	17%	15%	15%	15%	18%	14%	17%
	High (6-10)	60%	62%	54%	47%	53%	63%	56%	61%	59%	62%	57%
	DK/Refused	13%	12%	16%	21%	18%	11%	15%	12%	12%	13%	13%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	REGION			UNDERSERVED	RACE		GENDER/RACE			
			City	North/NE	South/SE	Zips	People of color	White people	Men of color	White men	Women of color	White women
Q.25 PRIORITY: SHORTENING TIME TO BRING A CASE TO JUSTICE	Low (0-4)	3%	1%	12%	2%	1%	4%	3%	5%	3%	3%	3%
	Medium (5)	10%	9%	12%	11%	7%	12%	8%	10%	8%	14%	8%
	High (6-10)	81%	85%	73%	80%	87%	80%	82%	82%	83%	78%	82%
	DK/Refused	5%	5%	2%	8%	5%	5%	7%	3%	6%	6%	7%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.26 PRIORITY: REDUCING RACIAL/ETHNIC DISPARITIES IN JUSTICE SYSTEM	Low (0-4)	7%	7%	9%	6%	6%	2%	12%	2%	6%	3%	18%
	Medium (5)	10%	11%	9%	10%	11%	7%	14%	4%	16%	9%	12%
	High (6-10)	72%	72%	67%	74%	71%	84%	59%	88%	65%	80%	54%
	DK/Refused	11%	10%	15%	10%	13%	7%	15%	6%	14%	9%	17%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.27 PRIORITY: BREAKING CYCLE OF REPEAT ARRESTS/CONVIC TIONS	Low (0-4)	2%	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%	2%	1%	5%	4%	0%
	Medium (5)	8%	7%	10%	9%	5%	10%	5%	7%	7%	12%	3%
	High (6-10)	83%	84%	79%	84%	85%	82%	86%	89%	83%	75%	88%
	DK/Refused	6%	6%	8%	6%	7%	6%	7%	3%	5%	8%	9%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	GENDER		AGE				YEARS IN EBR		
			Men	Women	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	15 or less	16-25	Over 25
Q.25 PRIORITY: SHORTENING TIME TO BRING A CASE TO JUSTICE	Low (0-4)	3%	4%	3%	1%	3%	6%	3%	0%	1%	6%
	Medium (5)	10%	10%	11%	8%	13%	11%	8%	6%	19%	9%
	High (6-10)	81%	82%	80%	88%	79%	81%	78%	88%	77%	81%
	DK/Refused	5%	4%	6%	3%	5%	3%	12%	6%	3%	4%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.26 PRIORITY: REDUCING RACIAL/ETHNIC DISPARITIES IN JUSTICE SYSTEM	Low (0-4)	7%	4%	10%	3%	6%	7%	12%	2%	5%	10%
	Medium (5)	10%	10%	11%	8%	11%	9%	14%	6%	10%	12%
	High (6-10)	72%	77%	67%	78%	75%	73%	58%	85%	72%	68%
	DK/Refused	11%	9%	12%	10%	9%	11%	15%	7%	12%	11%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.27 PRIORITY: BREAKING CYCLE OF REPEAT ARRESTS/CONVIC TIONS	Low (0-4)	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	4%	1%	1%	4%
	Medium (5)	8%	8%	8%	10%	8%	5%	8%	9%	6%	8%
	High (6-10)	83%	86%	81%	80%	86%	87%	76%	86%	87%	82%
	DK/Refused	6%	4%	9%	6%	4%	5%	12%	5%	5%	6%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY			CRIME VICTIM HH		ACCUSED/ARREST HH		ECON. CLASS		
			Safe	Unsafe	Other/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Upper/Upper middle	Middle	Working/Lower
Q.25 PRIORITY: SHORTENING TIME TO BRING A CASE TO JUSTICE	Low (0-4)	3%	2%	9%	0%	1%	5%	3%	4%	6%	3%	2%
	Medium (5)	10%	10%	13%	11%	9%	12%	7%	12%	17%	10%	6%
	High (6-10)	81%	83%	73%	73%	86%	78%	84%	80%	70%	84%	86%
	DK/Refused	5%	5%	6%	16%	5%	5%	6%	4%	7%	3%	6%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.26 PRIORITY: REDUCING RACIAL/ETHNIC DISPARITIES IN JUSTICE SYSTEM	Low (0-4)	7%	9%	0%	0%	14%	4%	12%	6%	7%	9%	4%
	Medium (5)	10%	10%	9%	16%	11%	9%	9%	10%	16%	10%	6%
	High (6-10)	72%	71%	80%	63%	58%	80%	68%	74%	69%	71%	79%
	DK/Refused	11%	10%	11%	21%	17%	7%	11%	10%	7%	11%	11%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.27 PRIORITY: BREAKING CYCLE OF REPEAT ARRESTS/CONVIC TIONS	Low (0-4)	2%	2%	6%	0%	2%	3%	1%	3%	3%	2%	3%
	Medium (5)	8%	8%	6%	11%	9%	8%	8%	8%	7%	8%	9%
	High (6-10)	83%	84%	83%	68%	83%	83%	85%	83%	84%	84%	84%
	DK/Refused	6%	6%	4%	21%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	5%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	REGION			UNDERSERVED Zips	RACE		GENDER/RACE			
			City	North/NE	South/SE		People of color	White people	Men of color	White men	Women of color	White women
Q.28 PRIORITY: INCREASING ALTERNATIVES TO JAIL BEFORE TRIAL	Low (0-4)	8%	11%	7%	6%	12%	7%	9%	8%	5%	7%	13%
	Medium (5)	14%	14%	22%	11%	15%	14%	14%	11%	9%	16%	19%
	High (6-10)	66%	64%	59%	73%	59%	69%	63%	72%	73%	67%	54%
	DK/Refused	11%	12%	13%	10%	14%	9%	14%	9%	13%	10%	14%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.29 PRIORITY: INCREASING SAFETY/REHABILI TATION IN JAIL	Low (0-4)	6%	7%	12%	3%	7%	7%	6%	11%	5%	4%	8%
	Medium (5)	15%	14%	23%	12%	13%	14%	16%	11%	14%	16%	18%
	High (6-10)	72%	73%	60%	78%	73%	76%	67%	76%	74%	76%	60%
	DK/Refused	7%	6%	5%	8%	7%	3%	11%	2%	7%	4%	14%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	GENDER		AGE				YEARS IN EBR		
			Men	Women	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	15 or less	16-25	Over 25
Q.28 PRIORITY: INCREASING ALTERNATIVES TO JAIL BEFORE TRIAL	Low (0-4)	8%	6%	10%	11%	7%	6%	9%	7%	4%	10%
	Medium (5)	14%	11%	18%	15%	17%	12%	12%	9%	16%	16%
	High (6-10)	66%	72%	61%	62%	69%	69%	61%	78%	65%	63%
	DK/Refused	11%	11%	12%	12%	6%	12%	18%	6%	14%	11%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.29 PRIORITY: INCREASING SAFETY/REHABILITATION IN JAIL	Low (0-4)	6%	8%	5%	4%	8%	7%	5%	6%	4%	8%
	Medium (5)	15%	12%	17%	12%	17%	13%	16%	9%	14%	18%
	High (6-10)	72%	76%	69%	78%	70%	73%	67%	80%	78%	68%
	DK/Refused	7%	4%	9%	5%	5%	7%	11%	5%	4%	7%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY			CRIME VICTIM HH		ACCUSED/ARREST HH		ECON. CLASS		
			Safe	Unsafe	Other/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Upper/Upper middle	Middle	Working/Lower
Q.28 PRIORITY: INCREASING ALTERNATIVES TO JAIL BEFORE TRIAL	Low (0-4)	8%	9%	3%	5%	12%	7%	6%	9%	7%	7%	11%
	Medium (5)	14%	16%	9%	11%	18%	13%	14%	15%	18%	15%	9%
	High (6-10)	66%	65%	78%	53%	56%	71%	67%	67%	66%	66%	69%
	DK/Refused	11%	11%	10%	31%	14%	9%	14%	10%	9%	11%	11%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.29 PRIORITY: INCREASING SAFETY/REHABILITATION IN JAIL	Low (0-4)	6%	6%	9%	0%	6%	7%	7%	6%	7%	7%	6%
	Medium (5)	15%	16%	13%	6%	20%	13%	10%	17%	23%	14%	9%
	High (6-10)	72%	72%	71%	73%	64%	76%	75%	71%	64%	72%	80%
	DK/Refused	7%	6%	7%	21%	11%	4%	8%	5%	5%	7%	4%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	REGION			UNDERSERVED	RACE		GENDER/RACE			
			City	North/NE	South/SE	Zips	People of color	White people	Men of color	White men	Women of color	White women
Q.30 PRIORITY: BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS BETWEEN JUSTICE AGENCIES AND COMMUNITY	Low (0-4)	3%	4%	4%	0%	4%	3%	3%	0%	2%	5%	4%
	Medium (5)	7%	8%	4%	8%	10%	8%	6%	0%	6%	14%	6%
	High (6-10)	80%	76%	76%	86%	71%	80%	79%	92%	85%	70%	73%
	DK/Refused	10%	12%	15%	6%	15%	10%	13%	8%	8%	11%	18%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		227	99	45	83	74	126	94	56	48	70	46
Q.31 PRIORITY: IMPROVE WAY LAW ENFORCEMENT ENGAGES WITH COMMUNITY	Low (0-4)	5%	5%	5%	5%	3%	2%	6%	0%	2%	4%	10%
	Medium (5)	6%	7%	2%	5%	9%	3%	8%	4%	7%	2%	9%
	High (6-10)	85%	83%	91%	85%	83%	93%	78%	96%	86%	90%	72%
	DK/Refused	5%	5%	2%	6%	5%	2%	7%	0%	5%	4%	9%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		223	101	40	82	70	107	113	54	51	53	62

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	GENDER		AGE				YEARS IN EBR		
			Men	Women	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	15 or less	16-25	Over 25
Q.30 PRIORITY: BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS BETWEEN JUSTICE AGENCIES AND COMMUNITY	Low (0-4)	3%	1%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	0%	4%
	Medium (5)	7%	3%	11%	6%	11%	4%	6%	7%	6%	8%
	High (6-10)	80%	89%	71%	75%	81%	81%	85%	82%	88%	77%
	DK/Refused	10%	8%	13%	17%	6%	12%	6%	10%	7%	11%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		227	106	121	47	80	66	32	48	49	127
Q.31 PRIORITY: IMPROVE WAY LAW ENFORCEMENT ENGAGES WITH COMMUNITY	Low (0-4)	5%	1%	8%	0%	8%	6%	2%	2%	6%	5%
	Medium (5)	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	5%	6%	4%	2%	7%
	High (6-10)	85%	91%	80%	92%	81%	87%	80%	94%	85%	82%
	DK/Refused	5%	3%	6%	2%	5%	2%	11%	0%	7%	5%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		223	106	117	46	74	59	42	47	43	128

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY			CRIME VICTIM HH		ACCUSED/ARREST HH		ECON. CLASS		
			Safe	Unsafe	Other/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Upper/Upper middle	Middle	Working/Lower
Q.30 PRIORITY: BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS BETWEEN JUSTICE AGENCIES AND COMMUNITY	Low (0-4)	3%	3%	0%	0%	3%	3%	0%	4%	4%	4%	0%
	Medium (5)	7%	8%	4%	10%	10%	5%	9%	7%	8%	4%	10%
	High (6-10)	80%	81%	82%	51%	75%	84%	77%	82%	81%	85%	73%
	DK/Refused	10%	8%	14%	39%	13%	8%	14%	8%	7%	7%	17%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		227	186	31	10	71	141	45	167	51	112	60
Q.31 PRIORITY: IMPROVE WAY LAW ENFORCEMENT ENGAGES WITH COMMUNITY	Low (0-4)	5%	6%	0%	0%	10%	2%	8%	4%	6%	5%	2%
	Medium (5)	6%	7%	2%	0%	11%	3%	8%	5%	8%	4%	8%
	High (6-10)	85%	84%	92%	78%	73%	91%	76%	87%	82%	84%	90%
	DK/Refused	5%	4%	5%	22%	6%	4%	8%	4%	4%	6%	0%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		223	176	39	8	72	135	36	175	48	117	54

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

	REGION			UNDERSERVED	RACE		GENDER/RACE				Table Total
	City	North/NE	South/SE	Zips	People of color	White people	Men of color	White men	Women of color	White women	0
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Q.24 PRIORITY: REFORMING BOND PRACTICES	N=7.09	N=6.44	N=7.07	N=6.91	N=7.24	N=6.68	N=7.19	N=7.01	N=7.28	N=6.35	N=6.96
Q.25 PRIORITY: SHORTENING TIME TO BRING A CASE TO JUSTICE	N=8.45	N=7.47	N=8.44	N=8.58	N=8.23	N=8.30	N=8.28	N=8.55	N=8.19	N=8.07	N=8.25
Q.26 PRIORITY: REDUCING RACIAL/ETHNIC DISPARITIES IN JUSTICE SYSTEM	N=8.01	N=7.18	N=7.84	N=8.11	N=8.63	N=6.83	N=8.66	N=7.14	N=8.60	N=6.54	N=7.80
Q.27 PRIORITY: BREAKING CYCLE OF REPEAT ARRESTS/CONVIC TIONS	N=8.49	N=8.22	N=8.35	N=8.54	N=8.34	N=8.50	N=8.58	N=8.32	N=8.12	N=8.67	N=8.39
Q.28 PRIORITY: INCREASING ALTERNATIVES TO JAIL BEFORE TRIAL	N=7.29	N=6.77	N=7.44	N=7.10	N=7.59	N=6.89	N=7.54	N=7.29	N=7.63	N=6.51	N=7.25
Q.29 PRIORITY: INCREASING SAFETY/REHABILI TATION IN JAIL	N=7.63	N=6.80	N=7.91	N=7.68	N=7.85	N=7.22	N=7.69	N=7.50	N=7.99	N=6.93	N=7.57
Q.30 PRIORITY: BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS BETWEEN JUSTICE AGENCIES AND COMMUNITY	N=8.38	N=8.39	N=9.07	N=8.37	N=8.66	N=8.65	N=9.15	N=8.63	N=8.25	N=8.67	N=8.65
Q.31 PRIORITY: IMPROVE WAY LAW ENFORCEMENT ENGAGES WITH COMMUNITY	N=8.31	N=8.57	N=9.08	N=8.48	N=9.02	N=8.28	N=8.94	N=8.70	N=9.11	N=7.92	N=8.64

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

	GENDER		AGE				YEARS IN EBR			Table Total
	Men	Women	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	15 or less	16-25	Over 25	0
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Q.24 PRIORITY: REFORMING BOND PRACTICES	N=7.09	N=6.83	N=7.21	N=6.89	N=6.79	N=7.10	N=7.35	N=6.87	N=6.86	N=6.96
Q.25 PRIORITY: SHORTENING TIME TO BRING A CASE TO JUSTICE	N=8.39	N=8.13	N=8.67	N=8.12	N=7.93	N=8.62	N=8.48	N=7.98	N=8.28	N=8.25
Q.26 PRIORITY: REDUCING RACIAL/ETHNIC DISPARITIES IN JUSTICE SYSTEM	N=7.96	N=7.65	N=8.35	N=7.86	N=7.70	N=7.11	N=8.28	N=7.89	N=7.58	N=7.80
Q.27 PRIORITY: BREAKING CYCLE OF REPEAT ARRESTS/CONVIC TIONS	N=8.41	N=8.37	N=8.40	N=8.46	N=8.48	N=8.14	N=8.40	N=8.44	N=8.40	N=8.39
Q.28 PRIORITY: INCREASING ALTERNATIVES TO JAIL BEFORE TRIAL	N=7.41	N=7.10	N=7.18	N=7.27	N=7.36	N=7.08	N=7.81	N=7.33	N=7.00	N=7.25
Q.29 PRIORITY: INCREASING SAFETY/REHABILI TATION IN JAIL	N=7.61	N=7.54	N=8.26	N=7.40	N=7.33	N=7.45	N=8.01	N=7.81	N=7.31	N=7.57
Q.30 PRIORITY: BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS BETWEEN JUSTICE AGENCIES AND COMMUNITY	N=8.92	N=8.39	N=8.72	N=8.55	N=8.65	N=8.77	N=8.90	N=9.02	N=8.39	N=8.65
Q.31 PRIORITY: IMPROVE WAY LAW ENFORCEMENT ENGAGES WITH COMMUNITY	N=8.85	N=8.44	N=8.89	N=8.60	N=8.34	N=8.80	N=9.07	N=8.67	N=8.42	N=8.64

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

	NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY			CRIME VICTIM HH		ACCUSED/ARREST HH		ECON. CLASS			Table Total
	Safe	Unsafe	Other/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Upper/Upper middle	Middle	Working/Lower	0
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Q.24 PRIORITY: REFORMING BOND PRACTICES	N=6.94	N=7.04	N=7.13	N=6.53	N=7.17	N=6.92	N=6.96	N=6.92	N=6.86	N=7.14	N=6.96
Q.25 PRIORITY: SHORTENING TIME TO BRING A CASE TO JUSTICE	N=8.29	N=7.94	N=8.66	N=8.47	N=8.14	N=8.54	N=8.20	N=7.58	N=8.24	N=8.86	N=8.25
Q.26 PRIORITY: REDUCING RACIAL/ETHNIC DISPARITIES IN JUSTICE SYSTEM	N=7.61	N=8.68	N=8.18	N=7.31	N=7.99	N=7.76	N=7.77	N=7.40	N=7.64	N=8.45	N=7.80
Q.27 PRIORITY: BREAKING CYCLE OF REPEAT ARRESTS/CONVIC TIONS	N=8.37	N=8.38	N=8.96	N=8.70	N=8.28	N=8.79	N=8.34	N=8.15	N=8.41	N=8.59	N=8.39
Q.28 PRIORITY: INCREASING ALTERNATIVES TO JAIL BEFORE TRIAL	N=7.08	N=7.91	N=8.34	N=6.81	N=7.47	N=7.42	N=7.23	N=6.96	N=7.21	N=7.60	N=7.25
Q.29 PRIORITY: INCREASING SAFETY/REHABIL ITATION IN JAIL	N=7.46	N=7.95	N=8.68	N=7.28	N=7.72	N=7.81	N=7.53	N=6.84	N=7.61	N=8.13	N=7.57
Q.30 PRIORITY: BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS BETWEEN JUSTICE AGENCIES AND COMMUNITY	N=8.53	N=9.38	N=8.88	N=8.14	N=8.85	N=8.80	N=8.55	N=8.38	N=8.73	N=8.78	N=8.65
Q.31 PRIORITY: IMPROVE WAY LAW ENFORCEMENT ENGAGES WITH COMMUNITY	N=8.44	N=9.44	N=9.43	N=8.16	N=8.85	N=8.54	N=8.63	N=8.18	N=8.71	N=8.86	N=8.64

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	REGION			UNDERSERVED	RACE		GENDER/RACE			
			City	North/NE	South/SE	Zips	People of color	White people	Men of color	White men	Women of color	White women
Q.32 AGE	18-34	21%	21%	19%	21%	24%	25%	17%	30%	14%	20%	21%
	35-49	34%	32%	32%	38%	33%	40%	28%	44%	29%	36%	27%
	50-64	28%	29%	34%	23%	27%	26%	30%	21%	30%	30%	29%
	65+	16%	17%	15%	16%	16%	10%	24%	5%	26%	14%	23%
	DK/Refused	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.33 LIVED IN EBR PARISH	15 or less	21%	18%	15%	28%	18%	22%	20%	27%	17%	18%	23%
	16-25	20%	19%	21%	22%	18%	23%	18%	24%	16%	22%	21%
	Over 25	57%	61%	64%	47%	62%	54%	59%	49%	67%	59%	53%
	DK/Refused	2%	1%	0%	3%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	3%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.34 VICTIM OF CRIME IN EBR PARISH	Yes	32%	38%	33%	23%	37%	29%	35%	27%	33%	32%	37%
	No	61%	54%	61%	70%	55%	64%	59%	65%	62%	64%	55%
	DK/Refused	7%	7%	5%	7%	8%	6%	7%	8%	5%	5%	8%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	GENDER		AGE				YEARS IN EBR		
			Men	Women	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	15 or less	16-25	Over 25
Q.32 AGE	18-34	21%	22%	20%	100%	0%	0%	0%	26%	36%	14%
	35-49	34%	37%	32%	0%	100%	0%	0%	46%	35%	30%
	50-64	28%	25%	30%	0%	0%	100%	0%	19%	27%	32%
	65+	16%	14%	18%	0%	0%	0%	100%	9%	2%	24%
	DK/Refused	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.33 LIVED IN EBR PARISH	15 or less	21%	22%	20%	27%	28%	14%	12%	100%	0%	0%
	16-25	20%	20%	21%	35%	21%	20%	3%	0%	100%	0%
	Over 25	57%	57%	57%	38%	50%	64%	84%	0%	0%	100%
	DK/Refused	2%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.34 VICTIM OF CRIME IN EBR PARISH	Yes	32%	29%	34%	31%	31%	35%	31%	8%	30%	42%
	No	61%	64%	59%	67%	59%	62%	59%	81%	65%	52%
	DK/Refused	7%	7%	7%	2%	10%	3%	10%	11%	4%	6%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY			CRIME VICTIM HH		ACCUSED/ARREST HH		ECON. CLASS		
			Safe	Unsafe	Other/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Upper/Upper middle	Middle	Working/Lower
Q.32 AGE	18-34	21%	20%	26%	11%	20%	23%	26%	21%	6%	22%	33%
	35-49	34%	33%	35%	47%	33%	33%	35%	33%	28%	34%	40%
	50-64	28%	29%	23%	20%	30%	28%	29%	28%	40%	25%	22%
	65+	16%	16%	16%	22%	16%	16%	10%	18%	25%	18%	5%
	DK/Refused	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.33 LIVED IN EBR PARISH	15 or less	21%	22%	17%	16%	5%	28%	8%	24%	18%	22%	24%
	16-25	20%	20%	26%	6%	20%	22%	19%	21%	19%	22%	20%
	Over 25	57%	56%	56%	72%	75%	48%	73%	54%	59%	55%	56%
	DK/Refused	2%	2%	1%	5%	0%	2%	0%	2%	5%	1%	1%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.34 VICTIM OF CRIME IN EBR PARISH	Yes	32%	30%	37%	39%	100%	0%	73%	24%	27%	34%	32%
	No	61%	64%	53%	43%	0%	100%	25%	74%	64%	59%	67%
	DK/Refused	7%	6%	10%	18%	0%	0%	1%	2%	9%	7%	2%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	REGION			UNDERSERVED	RACE		GENDER/RACE			
			City	North/NE	South/SE	Zips	People of color	White people	Men of color	White men	Women of color	White women
Q.35 ACCUSED/ARRESTED OF CRIME IN EBR PARISH	Yes	18%	23%	15%	14%	24%	21%	15%	20%	17%	23%	13%
	No	76%	71%	82%	79%	69%	72%	81%	71%	80%	74%	83%
	DK/Refused	6%	6%	2%	7%	8%	6%	4%	9%	3%	4%	5%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.36 WHETHER MENTAL HEALTH/SUBSTANCE ABUSE PLAYED A ROLE	Yes	52%	57%	31%	56%	71%	62%	38%	75%	32%	52%	47%
	No	45%	41%	69%	40%	29%	36%	59%	25%	68%	44%	47%
	DK/Refused	2%	2%	0%	4%	0%	2%	3%	0%	0%	4%	7%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		81	46	13	22	34	50	31	22	17	28	14
Q.37 ECONOMIC CLASS	Upper class	4%	4%	5%	2%	2%	5%	2%	4%	3%	6%	1%
	Upper middle class	18%	17%	14%	22%	16%	13%	25%	11%	22%	14%	28%
	Middle class	51%	46%	59%	53%	44%	44%	60%	47%	59%	40%	61%
	Working class	21%	26%	18%	17%	29%	31%	10%	34%	14%	29%	8%
	Lower class	4%	5%	2%	4%	6%	6%	2%	2%	2%	9%	3%
	Other	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%
	DK/Refused	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	GENDER		AGE				YEARS IN EBR		
			Men	Women	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	15 or less	16-25	Over 25
Q.35 ACCUSED/ARRESTED OF CRIME IN EBR PARISH	Yes	18%	18%	18%	22%	19%	19%	11%	7%	17%	23%
	No	76%	75%	77%	77%	72%	76%	84%	84%	76%	73%
	DK/Refused	6%	7%	5%	1%	9%	5%	5%	9%	7%	4%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.36 WHETHER MENTAL HEALTH/SUBSTANCE ABUSE PLAYED A ROLE	Yes	52%	56%	49%	55%	51%	58%	33%	36%	49%	55%
	No	45%	44%	46%	45%	42%	42%	67%	64%	51%	42%
	DK/Refused	2%	0%	5%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		81	39	42	21	29	24	8	6	16	60
Q.37 ECONOMIC CLASS	Upper class	4%	4%	4%	1%	2%	6%	5%	0%	3%	5%
	Upper middle class	18%	16%	20%	5%	16%	26%	28%	18%	17%	18%
	Middle class	51%	52%	50%	54%	51%	46%	55%	54%	55%	49%
	Working class	21%	24%	18%	35%	27%	14%	5%	25%	19%	21%
	Lower class	4%	2%	6%	5%	3%	6%	2%	3%	6%	4%
	Other	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%
	DK/Refused	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	2%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY			CRIME VICTIM HH		ACCUSED/ARREST HH		ECON. CLASS		
			Safe	Unsafe	Other/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Upper/Upper middle	Middle	Working/Lower
Q.35 ACCUSED/ARRESTED OF CRIME IN EBR PARISH	Yes	18%	17%	21%	34%	42%	7%	100%	0%	8%	14%	35%
	No	76%	79%	65%	48%	58%	91%	0%	100%	85%	81%	62%
	DK/Refused	6%	4%	13%	18%	0%	1%	0%	0%	7%	5%	3%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.36 WHETHER MENTAL HEALTH/SUBSTANCE ABUSE PLAYED A ROLE	Yes	52%	45%	65%	100%	52%	52%	52%	0%	38%	43%	64%
	No	45%	54%	28%	0%	45%	48%	45%	0%	62%	54%	33%
	DK/Refused	2%	1%	8%	0%	3%	0%	2%	0%	0%	3%	3%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%
		81	61	15	6	60	21	81	0	8	32	40
Q.37 ECONOMIC CLASS	Upper class	4%	4%	3%	0%	0%	5%	0%	4%	17%	0%	0%
	Upper middle class	18%	19%	15%	10%	19%	18%	10%	21%	83%	0%	0%
	Middle class	51%	53%	46%	28%	54%	49%	39%	55%	0%	100%	0%
	Working class	21%	19%	25%	46%	21%	23%	39%	18%	0%	0%	84%
	Lower class	4%	3%	11%	0%	4%	5%	10%	3%	0%	0%	16%
	Other	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	DK/Refused	1%	1%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	REGION			UNDERSERVED	RACE		GENDER/RACE			
			City	North/NE	South/SE	Zips	People of color	White people	Men of color	White men	Women of color	White women
Q.38 RACE	Black	45%	51%	45%	37%	55%	87%	0%	85%	0%	89%	0%
	Asian	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%
	Hispanic	4%	4%	5%	5%	4%	9%	0%	9%	0%	8%	0%
	White	46%	39%	46%	54%	36%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%
	Other	2%	3%	1%	1%	3%	3%	0%	5%	0%	2%	0%
	DK/Refused	2%	2%	4%	2%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.39 REGION	City	44%	100%	0%	0%	100%	50%	38%	44%	41%	56%	35%
	North/NE	19%	0%	100%	0%	0%	19%	19%	21%	18%	16%	19%
	South/SE	37%	0%	0%	100%	0%	31%	43%	35%	40%	28%	46%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108
Q.40 GENDER	Men	47%	46%	49%	48%	38%	47%	48%	100%	100%	0%	0%
	Women	53%	54%	51%	52%	62%	53%	52%	0%	0%	100%	100%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	200	85	165	144	233	207	110	99	123	108

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	GENDER		AGE				YEARS IN EBR		
			Men	Women	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	15 or less	16-25	Over 25
Q.38 RACE	Black	45%	44%	46%	51%	53%	42%	27%	46%	52%	43%
	Asian	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	3%	0%	0%
	Hispanic	4%	5%	4%	6%	5%	4%	1%	4%	4%	5%
	White	46%	46%	46%	39%	38%	49%	69%	44%	41%	48%
	Other	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%
	DK/Refused	2%	2%	3%	0%	2%	3%	1%	2%	0%	2%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.39 REGION	City	44%	43%	46%	46%	42%	46%	47%	38%	42%	48%
	North/NE	19%	19%	18%	18%	17%	23%	17%	13%	19%	22%
	South/SE	37%	38%	36%	37%	41%	31%	36%	49%	39%	30%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255
Q.40 GENDER	Men	47%	100%	0%	50%	51%	43%	42%	49%	46%	47%
	Women	53%	0%	100%	50%	49%	57%	58%	51%	54%	53%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	213	237	93	154	125	74	95	92	255

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies

**CJCC
August 2020**

		TOTAL	NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY			CRIME VICTIM HH		ACCUSED/ARREST HH		ECON. CLASS		
			Safe	Unsafe	Other/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Upper/Upper middle	Middle	Working/Lower
Q.38 RACE	Black	45%	40%	71%	44%	42%	47%	54%	43%	38%	38%	65%
	Asian	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%
	Hispanic	4%	5%	4%	0%	5%	5%	7%	4%	1%	4%	9%
	White	46%	51%	22%	45%	51%	44%	38%	49%	56%	54%	23%
	Other	2%	2%	1%	6%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	2%
	DK/Refused	2%	2%	0%	6%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.39 REGION	City	44%	43%	50%	55%	54%	39%	56%	41%	43%	40%	55%
	North/NE	19%	19%	21%	10%	20%	19%	16%	20%	16%	22%	15%
	South/SE	37%	38%	29%	35%	27%	42%	28%	38%	41%	38%	30%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113
Q.40 GENDER	Men	47%	50%	38%	33%	44%	49%	48%	46%	42%	48%	49%
	Women	53%	50%	62%	67%	56%	51%	52%	54%	58%	52%	51%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		450	362	70	18	143	276	81	342	99	229	113

Conducted by LJR Custom Strategies